UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,720	12/22/2005	Richard F. Allison	6550-000072/NPB	9816
27572 7590 12/03/2008 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			EXAMINER	
			ZHENG, LI	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, WII 46303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/561,720	ALLISON, RICHARD F.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	LI ZHENG	1638
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi Since this application is in condition for allowate closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>236-306</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>242,259 and 275-30</u> 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>236-241,243-258 and 260-274</u> is/are 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	<u>06</u> is/are withdrawn from considera	ation.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 Intonious Summon.	(PTO 412)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/561,720 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 236-306 are pending.

2. Applicant's amendments to the specification and submission of new Sequence

Listing filed on August 29, 2008 are acknowledged.

Claims 242, 259 and 275-306 are withdrawn for being drawn to non-elected

inventions.

Claims 236-241, 243-258 and 260-274 are examined on the merits.

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can

be found in a prior Office action.

4. The rejections and objections that are not recited in this Office Action are

considered as being withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Scope of Enablement

6. Claims 236-241, 243-258 and 260-274 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first

paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of producing a

heterologous polypeptide in plant comprising a) providing a transgenic plant comprising

a DNA molecule containing a promoter operably linked to a DNA sequence containing a

sequence complementary to a coding sequence for a heterologous polypeptide, a sequence complementary to an IRES from a plant virus and a 3' UTR of a first positive strand single-stranded RNA virus; b) growing the transgenic plant; and c) stimulating synthesis of an RNA complementary to an RNA transcript of the recombinant DNA by infecting the transgenic plant with a second positive strand single-stranded RNA virus closely related to the first one, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method for producing heterologous polypeptide in any cell or any IRES from any source or any stimulus for synthesis of an RNA complementary to an RNA transcript of the recombinant DNA. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make/use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims, for the reasons of record stated in the Office action mailed April 29, 2008. Applicants traverse in the paper filed August 29, 2008. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but were not found persuasive.

Applicants argue that no reference is provided in Gleba or in the Office action to support the conclusion that elements isolated from animal do not support translation in plant cells (response, the paragraph bridging pages22-23).

The Office contends that Gleba reference itself is qualified as a reference to support Office's position. In addition, Dorokhov et al. provided by Applicants could serve as an supporting evidence to show that there are kingdom-specific limitations of viral IRES activity and none of the animal virus IRES elements seem to be active in veast cell (page 5301, 1st paragraph of introduction). Although EMCV IRES is

Application/Control Number: 10/561,720 Page 4

Art Unit: 1638

moderately active in plant cell, it is seems to be an isolated case and the claim is not so limited to a method practiced in plant cell.

Applicants argue that at time of invention, a person skilled in the art would be able to employ routine assays to determine whether a particular IRES sequence could be used in instant invention (response, page 23, 3rd paragraph and the paragraph bridging pages 23-24).

The Office contends that the specification fails to reduce the invention to practice. The only working example is only to demonstrate that the replication complex of BMV could recognize and synthesize a complementary copy of a CCMV transgene that contains a complete 3' UTR. The working example does not use IRES to drive the translation of the heterologous gene. Without any working example and given the unpredictability of the art as shown by Gleba et al. and Teycheney et al., in contrast to Applicants' conclusion, undue experimentation would have been required for a person skilled in the art to practice the invention in organisms other than plants, or to select any pairs of the first and second single-stranded RNA viruses, or to use any IRES elements from any sources.

Summary

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1638

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li Zheng whose telephone number is 571-272-8031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on 571-272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,720 Page 6

Art Unit: 1638

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Elizabeth F. McElwain/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638